

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	DATE	<u>August 28, 2019</u>
MOTOR CARRIER MATTER	<input type="checkbox"/>	DOCKET NO.	<u>2019-185-E/2019-186-E</u>
UTILITIES MATTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ORDER NO.	<u>2019-604</u>

THIS DIRECTIVE SHALL SERVE AS THE COMMISSION'S ORDER ON THIS ISSUE.

SUBJECT:

[DOCKET NO. 2019-185-E](#) - South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (H.3659) Proceeding to Establish Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Standard Offer, Avoided Cost Methodologies, Form Contract Power Purchase Agreements, Commitment to Sell Forms, and Any Other Terms or Conditions Necessary (Includes Small Power Producers as Defined in 16 United States Code 796, as Amended) - S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-41-20 (A);

-and-

[DOCKET NO. 2019-186-E](#) - South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (H.3659) Proceeding to Establish Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Standard Offer, Avoided Cost Methodologies, Form Contract Power Purchase Agreements, Commitment to Sell Forms, and Any Other Terms or Conditions Necessary (Includes Small Power Producers as Defined in 16 United States Code 796, as Amended) - Staff Presents for Commission Consideration Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Request for Confidential Treatment of Snider DEC Exhibit 1 and Snider DEP Exhibit 1.

COMMISSION ACTION:

Duke Energy has petitioned for confidential treatment of certain documents, has provided a very general justification. Before granting or denying such request, I think the Commission should have additional information regarding two points. The first point is that Duke is requesting effectively 100% redaction of the documents in questions, and I would like to know if the redactions could be more closely tailored to fit only the information that is necessarily redacted. Second, given the subject matter to be litigated in these proceedings – I am uncertain how and to what extent the redaction, as requested, would impact the ability of the parties to address these issues at hearing.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to hear more from Duke on these two issues and propose that we neither grant nor deny the request for confidentiality at this time. Rather, I move that we request that Duke respond to these two issues. However, this information will be maintained confidential until an ultimate decision on confidentiality is reached.

PRESIDING: Randall

SESSION: Regular

TIME: 2:00 p.m.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
BELSER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ERVIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
RANDALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

WHITFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
WILLIAMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

